Subepithelial lesions of the GI tract are commonly encountered during routine EGD and colonoscopy. At least 1% of all EGD exams diagnose a subepithelial lesion. They most commonly occur within the stomach, but are also regularly noted in the esophagus and duodenum. In addition, subepithelial lesions during colonoscopy are often detected. They mostly occur in the rectum and cecum, but familiar lesions such as lipomas may be seen in any part of the colon.

The overwhelming majority of subepithelial lesions are benign at the time of diagnosis, with fewer than 15% of lesions found to be malignant at presentation. Despite this significant imbalance between worrisome and non-worrisome lesions, many of these neoplasms have potential for malignant transformation and spread to distant organs. The key in evaluating patients with subepithelial lesions is to make a concerted effort at definitively diagnosing the type of lesion the patient possesses so that he or she may be guided in terms of possible therapies, prognosis, need for surveillance, etc.

Subepithelial lesions are often incidentally discovered and frequently do not explain the indication for which the patient is undergoing the endoscopic examination. If symptoms do exist, chronic anemia from intermittent GI bleeding is most common. Other symptoms include abdominal pain and luminal obstruction, depending on the site and size of the lesion. Men and women are equally affected, and most patients are greater than 50 years old at the time of diagnosis. Since most of these lesions are smaller than 2 cm in size, CT and MRI are often not sensitive enough to discover these lesions within the wall of the GI tract. Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) is the gold standard for evaluation of subepithelial lesions, as it affords the following benefits: ability to differentiate extramural compression from intramural growth, determine layer of origin, accurately size the lesion, evaluate for regional lymphadenopathy, obtain tissue for diagnosis and help determine appropriate management.

Table 1 lists the top 10 most common types of subepithelial lesions. Most frequently encountered are the gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST), leiomyoma, lipoma, pancreatic rest (heterotopic pancreas tissue), carcinoid tumor, and inflammatory fibroid lesion. GIST lesions and leiomyomas are mesenchymal tumors arising from muscular spindle-shaped cells. They most commonly originate from the 4th layer of the GI tract wall (muscularis propria), but may be seen arising from the more superficial muscularis mucosa layer as well. Lipomas, fibroids and pancreatic rest lesions tend to be restricted to the submucosal layer of the GI tract; as opposed to duplication cysts which may be seen involving any layer from mucosa to serosa. Carcinoids and granular cell tumors mainly involve the submucosa, but they often grow upward towards the mucosa. This is why they are often diagnosed on routine mucosal biopsy sampling at the time of an index EGD or colonoscopy. Less common lesions such as the lymphangioma and other neural-based tumors (e.g., Schwannoma) mostly exist within the submucosa.

GIST
GIST lesions originate from the interstitial cells of Cajal. In terms of the pathophysiology of this neoplasm, there is a gain of function mutation in the KIT gene which codes for the c-kit protein, a tyrosine kinase receptor. Immunohistochemical (INC) staining of these tumors is CD117 positive in nearly 95% of cases, which corresponds to the activation of c-kit. All GIST lesions have the potential for malignant transformation and distant metastases.

The risk of malignancy with GIST lesions is dependent on the size of the lesion and the number of cells noted to be undergoing mitosis at pathological evaluation. For example, lesions larger than 5 cm in size, and with a mitotic count of >10/50 HPF have the highest likelihood of malignancy at the time of diagnosis. In addition, location is important as well. GIST lesions in the small bowel have much higher risk of malignancy than those seen within the stomach or rectum, for example. Table 2 summarizes the association between risk of malignancy, and size and mitotic count.

The management of GIST lesions in the upper and lower GI tract discovered during EGD and colonoscopy is dependent on size and the presence of symptoms. Suspected GIST lesions of at least 1 cm in size should be referred for EUS evaluation. Frequently the results of EUS-FNA, however, do not yield enough cellular material for the cytopathologist to make an accurate assessment of mitotic count. This is mainly achieved by the surgical pathologist once a tumor has been removed. As a result, the management is most often guided by the size of the lesion and whether or not the patient is felt to be symptomatic due to the lesion itself (e.g., history of iron-deficiency anemia and the presence of an ulcerated GIST with stigmata of recent bleeding). For tumors that are causing symptoms, patients should undergo surgical resection so that these lesions may be totally removed. In addition, tumors noted within the
small bowel (jejunum or ileum) should be referred for surgical resection as well because of the higher risk of malignancy and the high likelihood of symptoms associated with these tumors (which is what generally started the investigation that discovered the tumor in the first place). Large tumors of greater than 2 cm in size should also be referred for resection—even if the patient is asymptomatic. Also, patients with high-risk lesions should be referred to a medical oncologist for consideration of adjuvant therapy with one of the tyrosine kinase inhibitor drugs (e.g., imatinib, or Gleevec™).

For smaller lesions (< 2 cm in size) in patients who are without symptoms, GIST lesions may be closely followed with annual EGD and/or EUS exam. Surgery may be pursued if the lesion is noted to be growing during the surveillance period. In addition, it may be reasonable to consider surgical resection in this group of patients with smaller lesions if the patient wishes for it to be removed, and their operative risks are relatively low. Lastly, most GIST lesions should not undergo attempted endoscopic resection. As most of these lesions arise from the muscularis propria layer of the GI tract wall (the last layer before the serosa), complete endoscopic removal is not achievable without perforation, or full-thickness resection.

Leiomyoma
Leiomyomas also originate from the muscular layers of the GI tract wall. Most commonly they arise from the muscularis propria, but some are seen within the muscularis mucosa. Their location is slightly different from GIST lesions, and most commonly they are seen in the mid or distal esophagus. IHC staining is negative for CD117, CD34 and s100, but positive for desmin and a-smooth muscle actin protein. The risk of transformation to a malignant leiomyosarcoma is quite rare.

Suspected leiomyomas of greater than 1 cm in size should be referred for EUS in effort to confirm the diagnosis. For small lesions of less than 1-2 cm in size, surveillance EGD and/or EUS may be performed annually if the patient is asymptomatic. Conversely, surgical resection is advised for lesions that are causing symptoms (e.g., bleeding), or lesions that are enlarging or displaying structural changes during surveillance exams. Lastly, for small lesions < 2 cm in size that arise from the muscularis mucosa layer on EUS exam, complete endoscopic resection may be performed.

Lipoma
Lipomas are common subepithelial tumors that originate from within the submucosal layer of the GI tract wall. They are most often noted in the gastric antrum and colon, but they also frequently occur in the small bowel. They tend to have a yellow hue on initial endoscopic inspection, and when prodded with biopsy forceps, they are soft and deformable with a classic "pillow sign." In fact, demonstration of a positive pillow sign on a subepithelial lesion of yellowish discoloration within the gastric antrum or colon is approximately 98% specific for a lipoma.

Lipomas have essentially no malignant potential. Therefore, once they are definitively diagnosed, they do not require regular endoscopic surveillance. Since these lesions arise from the submucosa, bite-on-bite biopsies will often reveal grossly yellow adipose tissue once the overlying mucosal layer is unroofed. Utilization of jumbo biopsy forceps for suspected lipomas has been shown to be effective for easily making a definitive diagnosis, as the underlying submucosal fatty tissue is easily revealed. Jumbo forceps can fit down the channel of standard upper endoscope and do not require the use of a therapeutic endoscope. Endoscopists utilizing this technique need to be skilled at deploying endoscopic clips, as there may be some bleeding once the mucosal surface is removed.

Granular Cell Tumor
Granular cell tumors (GCTs) are subepithelial lesions of Schwann cell origin. They mostly arise from within the submucosal layer of the GI tract wall and grow towards the mucosa, often making it feasible to obtain a definitive diagnose by means of standard biopsy with a regular forceps. Most GCTs occur within the esophagus. The risk of malignancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>Muscularis Mucosa</th>
<th>Submucosa</th>
<th>Muscularis Propria</th>
<th>Serosa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GIST</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leiomyoma</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granular Cell Tumor</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic Rest</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoid (NET)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplication Cyst</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibroid Lesion</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varices</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphangioma</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural Tumors (e.g., Schwannoma)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk of Malignancy</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Mitotic count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>&lt; 2 cm</td>
<td>&lt; 5/50 HPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>2-5 cm</td>
<td>&lt; 5/50 HPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>&lt; 5 cm</td>
<td>6-10/50 HPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 5 cm</td>
<td>&lt; 5/50 HPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>&gt; 5 cm</td>
<td>&gt; 10/50 HPF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any size</td>
<td>&gt; 10/50 HPF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is extremely low. In one study, the rate of malignancy was approximately 2-4% at the time of diagnosis, and all of these malignant lesions were greater than 4 cm in size.¹

Small GCTs of less than 1 cm in size may undergo annual EGD surveillance. For larger lesions of > 2 cm, surgical resection is advised. Intermediate-sized GCTs of 1-2 cm may undergo annual surveillance, or possibly endoscopic resection provided the lesion does not penetrate deeper than the submucosal layer on EUS evaluation.

Pancreatic Rest
Heterotopic pancreas tissue (pancreatic rest) is quite common and noted in roughly 1-2% of patients in autopsy series. Nearly all of these lesions (90%) are located within the stomach, and most often in the gastric antrum. They arise from within the submucosal layer of the stomach wall. Patients can develop clinical symptoms due to a pancreatic rest, including ulceration and pain, bleeding, acute pancreatitis and gastric outlet obstruction. Endoscopically, they frequently display a central area of umbilication within the center of the lesion. When submucosal tissue is obtained on deep biopsy sampling, there is obvious pancreatic acinar tissue present histologically. These lesions have essentially no malignant potential and need not require endoscopic surveillance or removal once definitively diagnosed.

Carcinoid Tumor
Carcinoid tumors are the most common neoplasm of the small intestine, and at least 25% of all carcinoid tumors occur within the small bowel. Their location is most frequent within the ileum, followed by the jejunum, and then the duodenum. There tends to be a slight female predominance with a male:female ratio of 1:1.⁶ These lesions usually originate from the mucosal layer of the GI tract wall and penetrate into the submucosal layer. As a result, they are often diagnosed on routine mucosal biopsy sampling at the time of an index EGD or colonoscopy.

Gastric carcinoid tumors are commonly diagnosed on routine EGD and account of 9% of all carcinoid tumors.⁸ There are three subtypes of gastric carcinoids with varying levels of malignant potential:

1. Type I gastric carcinoid tumors are associated with atrophic gastritis, pernicious anemia and hypergastrinemia. These tumors have a very low potential for malignant transformation.

2. Type II gastric carcinoid tumors are also associated with hypergastrinemia, but the high gastrin levels are due to Zollinger-Ellison Syndrome or MEN-1 (multiple endocrine neoplasia syndrome, type 1). These tumors have an intermediate potential for malignant transformation.

3. Type III gastric carcinoid tumors are the sporadic form and are not associated with high gastrin levels. These tumors have a high potential for malignant transformation.

It is critical to make a concerted effort at diagnosing the exact type of gastric carcinoid a patient possesses so that he or she is managed appropriately, and provided with the most accurate idea of prognosis. For Type I and Type II lesions (hypergastrinemia), endoscopic resection is appropriate when the tumor is less than 1-2 cm in size. Snare cautery polypectomy technique should be utilized, and often with preceding submucosal injection of fluid to be sure to lift the entire lesion off the underlying muscle layer and assure complete removal of the base of the tumor. For larger tumors greater than 2 cm, or for multiple tumors, surgical resection is advised. This is often accompanied by distal antrectomy to remove the G-cell mass burden, or fundectomy to remove the ECL-cell (enterochromaffin-like cell) mass burden. Following resection of these tumors, surveillance EGD should be performed every 6-12 months for at least 5 years.

Type III gastric carcinoid tumors (normal gastrin levels) are the sporadic form and should not be removed endoscopically. Surgical resection is recommended with lymph node dissection, preferably by an experienced upper GI surgical oncologist. Again, surveillance EGD exam should be performed following the operation.

Rectal carcinoid tumors are frequently discovered during routine screening colonoscopy. Following initial EUS examination, small lesions of less than 1 cm in size that are confined to the submucosa should be removed endoscopically. Larger lesions (> 2 cm), or lesions with penetration into the muscularis propria layer on EUS, or lesions with enlarged regional lymphadenopathy should be referred for surgical resection by an experienced colorectal surgeon. MRI of the pelvis is also recommended for these patients in planning disease management. For intermediate-sized lesions (1-2 cm), endoscopic resection may be performed if the lesion is confined to the submucosa and there are no other concerning features on EUS and/or MRI. Alternatively, these lesions may also be referred for surgical resection, including some minimally-invasive transanal resection techniques.

There are no specific guidelines or recommendations in place for the management of duodenal carcinoid tumors. For non-ampullary duodenal carcinoid tumors, it is reasonable to manage them in similar fashion as the rectal carcinoid tumors. For ampullary carcinoid tumors, small lesions (< 1-2 cm) may be removed with endoscopic ampullectomy by an experienced pancreatico-biliary endoscopist, as long as an initial EUS exam shows no suggestion of advanced disease (e.g., regional lymphadenopathy, growth into the muscularis propria.
layer, encroachment of tumor up the distal common bile duct, etc.). Larger or more advanced tumors should be referred for surgical resection, including surgical ampullectomy or pancreaticoduodenectomy (Whipple procedure).

**Algorithmic Approach**

Figure 1 outlines an algorithm that may be used for the approach to subepithelial lesions of the upper and lower GI tract. At the time of initial EGD or colonoscopy, biopsy of the overlying mucosa of the tumor should be performed in all cases. Frequently a definitive diagnosis can be made with simple mucosal biopsies. Not too infrequently, an unexpected diagnosis such as adenocarcinoma may be encountered as well. The biopsy forceps should also be used to estimate the size of the lesion. For small lesions (< 1 cm in size), a repeat EGD exam may be performed in 1 year to re-evaluate the tumor and assure no significant growth or morphological changes. EUS is not mandatory of these small lesions.

For tumors that measure greater than 1 cm in size, an initial EUS exam should be performed to better characterize the lesion, evaluate for signs of malignancy, and perform various methods of tissue acquisition in effort to make a definitive diagnosis. Methods of more complex tissue acquisition may include EUS-FNA or EUS-FNB (fine-needle biopsy with a core needle), tunneled biopsies with a jumbo forceps, partial endoscopic resection or enucleation, etc.

Once a diagnosis is made, the risk of possible malignant transformation should be addressed. Further management is dependent upon this risk. For example, a large carcinoid tumor should be removed (surgically or endoscopically depending on the size, type and location of tumor as outline above). Small tumors with malignant potential may undergo endoscopic surveillance (e.g., 1.5 cm GIST lesion or granular cell tumor). Benign lesions without any significant risk of malignancy may be disregarded (e.g., lipoma, pancreatic rest).

Lastly, any significant growth or morphological change in a lesion should prompt further investigation with EUS and/or cross-sectional imaging if necessary.
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